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Herbivores just need a calm place to feed: Ants cannot help them
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Abstract. Why do herbivores, like Coelomera sp., seek to consume leaf tissue of Cecropia pachystachya colonized by Azteca alfari Emery, 1893 if they are at risk of being attacked by these ants? We collected herbivory data (leaf area lost, occurrence of herbivores and expulsion of enemies by A. alfari) in different shifts (times of the day) in 50 C. pachystachya trees (25 uncolonized and 25 colonized by A. alfari). We registered less leaf area lost and less beetle occurrence in colonized plants. Moreover, the proportion of enemies expelled (killed or removed) is more related to the number of ants that were patrolling and attacking the invaders in the tissue than to the total number of ants present in colonized plants due to A. alfari recruitment and behavior. Thus, such herbivores prefer to use tissues of uncolonized plants and tree structures of colonized ones with less active ants given the risk evaluation of encountering a group of aggressive ants. In this way, C. pachystachya needs these ants to cover the plant tissues as much as possible to ensure its protection.
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Ecological interactions, such as the relationship between ants and myrmecophyte plants, are commonly studied. An example of these interactions is the case of ants that defend Cecropia spp. trees from herbivores, as they nest in the plant and obtain food resources (e.g. Müllerian bodies) (Hölldobler & Wilson 1990). However, not all of these plants are colonized by ants, and this colonization occurs along the plant development (Del Val & Dirzo 2003; Oliveira et al. 2015).

When colonized by ants, Cecropia pachystachya trees show a large decrease in herbivory rates (Oliveira et al. 2015), which may be due to increased foraging of ants on leaves (Rocha & Bergallo 1992). Azteca sp. are dominant, great predators and territorial ants (Hölldobler & Wilson 1990; Longino 1991). These ants help to provide protection that maintain herbivory rates under 20% (Coley 1983). Besides that, tree protection varies and can be related to: number of ants foraging, shifts (the time of day, related to ant activity), and ant aggressiveness (Barwell 1967; Longino 1991; Rocha & Bergallo 1992).

In Brazilian Mata Atlântica Rainforest, the relationship between C. pachystachya (Urticaceae) and Azteca alfari Emery, 1893 (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) is quite common (de Oliveira et al. 2015). This interaction system is not exclusively found in forests, and also occurs in open environments where C. pachystachya is present (Reis et al. 2013). However, open and closed areas can present different patterns in the plant and ant defense (Carneiro et al. 2018). Cecropia pachystachya can also present a diverse and large number of occasional visitors (Novais et al. 2015), but its main defoliators is Coelomera lanio (Dalman, 1823) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) (Silveira et al. 2002) that also oviposit on it.

In this work, our aim was to understand how herbivores seek to consume leaf tissue of C. pachystachya trees in the absence and presence of ants, even at the risk of being attacked by them. We expected that: i) the presence of ants would decrease the leaf area lost and beetle occurrence, ii) The total number of foraging ants was the most important factor in the decrease of the proportion of herbivores expelled from plants.

We collected in clearings or secondary forests areas with large presence of C. pachystachya individuals in Reserva Biológica União, located in the municipality of Rio das Ostras, state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (22°25′41.38″S; 42°2′12.24″W), at 55m altitude. This area is under the Mata Atlântica domain and presents rainy summers and dry winters, the average annual temperature is ~ 23°C and an annual rainfall of 1657.7±70 mm (MMA/ICMBIO 2008). We selected 50 C. pachystachya individuals (Fig. 1A) that were at least 10m apart from each other. Among them, 25 plants presented established A. alfari colonies (plants with x 1.95m high) (Fig. 1B) and 25 had no A. alfari colonies (plants with x 1.47m high). We opened trunk internodes (when necessary) to check if the colony was established, but we did not use trees with opened internodes in the termite experiment. The tallest tree in this work was 2.5m high, in order to make the observations possible.

In each of the 50 trees, we randomly removed three leaves, varying their position on the plant as much as possible, and measured the leaf area lost by herbivory (caused by leaf chewers) with digital photographs and the software ImageJ (Rasband 2006). We also searched the plants for checking the presence of Coelomera sp. (Fig. 1C). In the 25 plants with ant presence, we randomly put termites, Nasutitermes sp., during the time of observation in each plant (three per shift, six per plant in total), to freely walk on the leaves in order to simulate the presence of an herbivore (Cogni & Freitas 2002), in three rounds per shift (time of day). These observations were made from 08h00 to 11h00 and from 16h30 to 18h30 (x ~ 5 min. per plant). We counted the total number of ants foraging on the plant during both shifts to estimate the total number of ants in the colony, and used the number of ants foraging in each shift. We also counted how many individuals attacked the termites after their release, and calculated the proportion of termites that were killed or removed during the observation time.

We used GLMs to evaluate if plants with the presence of ants or higher colonies have lower proportion of leaf area lost by herbivory (average of leaf area lost in three leaves) and if colonized plants have lower beetle occurrence (presence/absence) in models with quasibinomial and binomial distributions, respectively. We used a GLMM to test for differences in ant foraging in the morning and sunset. After that, we listed six GLMMs and then ranked them through the AICc method to get a best model ranking. Among these six models,
the proportion of termites killed or removed (expelled) was the explanatory variable with binomial distribution, and the shift, the total number of foraging ants and the number of ants that attacked termites were explanatory variables (Tab. 1). Plants were used as random effect variables in the GLMMs. For that, we used the packages “lme4” (Bates et al. 2019), “AICcmodavg” (Mazerolle 2019) and the software R (R Development Core Team 2019).

In *C. pachystachya* with ant presence, we found lower proportion of leaf area lost \((F = 81.035; \ p < 0.001; \ n = 50; \) Fig. 2A) and a lower occurrence of *Coelomera* sp. \((p = 0.003; \) Fig. 2B) compared with individuals without ants. Regarding the shifts, the number of foraging ants during the morning was higher than during the sunset \((\chi^2 = 38.782; \ p < 0.001; \) Fig. 2C). Our best model presents a (positive) relationship between the proportion of termites expelled and the number of ants attacking termites (Tab. 1). The total number of ants foraging was not the best predictor, but this predictor variable is also (positively) related to the proportion of termites expelled.

As expected, the presence of ants decreases the leaf area lost and the beetle occurrence in *C. pachystachya*. Leaf area consumption in *C. pachystachya* trees is related to the presence of defenses (e.g. chemical, trichomes, mutualistic defenders) and the nutritional quality of tissues, and defenses may change depending on plant ontogeny (Schupp 1986; Del Val & Dirzo 2003). As we observe similar patterns, we suggest that this beetle (or other herbivores) may search for young and nutritive leaves in structures (Mendes et al. 2017) when they are less visited by ants. Ant presence leads to improved plant development (Oliveira et al. 2015) and the presence of *Coelomera* sp. is less common in colonized plants because ants’ presence is an effective defense (Silveira et al. 2002).

As expected, the presence of ants decreases the leaf area lost and the beetle occurrence in *C. pachystachya*. Leaf area consumption in *C. pachystachya* trees is related to the presence of defenses (e.g. chemical, trichomes, mutualistic defenders) and the nutritional quality of tissues, and defenses may change depending on plant ontogeny (Schupp 1986; Del Val & Dirzo 2003). As we observe similar patterns, we suggest that this beetle (or other herbivores) may search for young and nutritive leaves in structures (Mendes et al. 2017) when they are less visited by ants. Ant presence leads to improved plant development (Oliveira et al. 2015) and the presence of *Coelomera* sp. is less common in colonized plants because ants’ presence is an effective defense (Silveira et al. 2002).

### Table 1.

Model selection based on the second-order Akaike information criterion (AICc) for proportion of termites killed or removed (expelled). The GLMM models were built with binomial distribution, plant as random variable and the following fixed explanatory variables: number of ants that attacked termites (Attackers), Shift (morning or sunset), and the total number of foraging ants on plants (All Foraging). Number of predictor variables \(K\), differences in AICc-values \(\Delta\), and Akaike weight \(\omega\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>(K)</th>
<th>AICc</th>
<th>(\Delta)</th>
<th>(\Omega)</th>
<th>Cumulated (\omega)</th>
<th>Log Likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Attackers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-25.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Attackers + Shift</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60.42</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-25.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>All Foraging</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60.84</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-27.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>All Foraging + Shift</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62.62</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-26.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Shift</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65.12</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-29.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>65.58</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-30.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
protect *C. pachystachya* trees being more aggressive than workers in mature colonies (Marting et al. 2018). So, we can observe plants with slightly smaller colonies but with very active and aggressive ants that expel enemies and protect trees effectively.

In this way, herbivores take advantage of the enemy-free space (Jeffries & Lawton 1984) when they choose to feed on uncolonized plants and colonized plants at times and/or structures where ants are less active (safer to consume leaf tissue). Additionally, *C. pachystachya* needs ants to cover the plant tissues as much as possible for total protection. In other words, in our work, the use of the plant tissues by herbivores can be related not only to total number of ants foraging, the time of day or colony size but, mainly, to the number of attackers due to the type of recruitment of the species. So, the Azteca-Cecropia system can alter the occurrence and behavior of herbivores, as Coelomera sp., which prefer plants and tissues where they will not be expelled by ants. Thus, we reinforce the need to evaluate the nuances and idiosyncrasies of the interactions and suggest new studies focusing on herbivores behavior on myrmecophytic plants.
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